

Workshop on Baltic Sea Ecosystem in Changing Climate Oct. 16, 2009, Norrkøping, Sweden

Seasonal Variability in the Baltic Sea Ecosystem

Zhenwen Wan

Center for Ocean and Ice Danish Meteorological Institute



• Model features

- Model configuration
- •Validation
- Seasonal variability



Outlines

- Model features
- Model configuration
- Validation
- Seasonal variability

DMi Center for Ocean and Ice

Physical Model

CMOD - the Circulation MODel

originally developed by Bundesamt fuer, BSH

completely re-written, optimised, parallelised and further developed at DMI, by Per Berg, Jacob Weismann Poulsen and others

primitive hydro- and thermodynamic equations
2-order turbulence enclosure
free surface, drying/flooding being implemented
2-way-nested
1D dynamic allocatable arrays
openmp and/or MPI parallelization



DMi

Center for Ocean and Ice

ERGOM Model by T. Neumann



T. Neumann / Journal of Marine Systems 25 (2000) 405-419

DMi Center for Ocean and Ice

اله الم

$$\dot{A} = -\sum_{n=1}^{2} RA_{n}P_{n} + REC \cdot D + \frac{RECS}{H_{BOT}}SED$$

$$+ LPN\sum_{n=1}^{3} P_{n} + LZN \cdot Z^{2} - NF \cdot A$$

$$+ \frac{AFLX}{H}$$
(B1)
$$\dot{N} = -\sum_{n=1}^{2} RN_{n}P_{n} + NF \cdot A$$

$$- 5.3\left(REC \cdot D + \frac{RECS}{H_{BOT}}SED\right)$$

$$\times (1 - OSWTCH)NSWTCH + \frac{NFLX}{H}$$
(B2)
$$P\dot{O} = RFR\left[REC \cdot D + LPN\sum_{n=1}^{3} P_{n} + LZN \cdot Z^{2}$$

$$- \sum_{n=1}^{3} R_{n}P_{n}\right] + \frac{POFLX}{H}$$
(B3)
$$\dot{P}_{n} = R_{n}P_{n} - LP \cdot P_{n} - G_{n}Z;$$

$$\left\{n = 1, 2, 3\right\}$$
(B4)
$$\dot{Z} = G \cdot Z - LZ \cdot Z^{2}$$
(B5)
$$\dot{D} = LPD\sum_{n=1}^{n} P_{n} + LZD \cdot Z^{2} - (REC + SEDR)D$$

 $+ \text{RES} \cdot \text{SED}$

(B6)

$$O\dot{2} = \frac{\text{Nnorm}}{\text{Onorm}} \left[\frac{6.625 \cdot \text{A} + 8.125 \cdot \text{N}}{\text{A} + \text{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{3} R_{n} P_{n} \right]$$
$$- 1.5 \cdot \text{NF} \cdot \text{A} - 6.625 \left(\text{LPN} \sum_{n=1}^{3} P_{n} \right)$$
$$+ \text{LZN} \cdot \text{Z} \right) - 6.625 \left(\text{REC} \cdot \text{D} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\text{RECS}}{H_{\text{BOT}}} \text{SED} \left(\text{NSWTCH} \cdot \text{OSWTCH} \right)$$
$$+ (1 - \text{NSWTCH}) \left[- 1.5 \cdot \frac{\text{RECSN}}{H_{\text{BOT}}} \right]$$
$$\times \text{SED} \cdot \text{OSWTCH} + \frac{\text{OFLX}}{H} \qquad (B7)$$
$$\text{SED} = \text{SEDR} \cdot \text{D} - (\text{RES} + \text{RECS}) \cdot \text{SED}$$
$$- \text{RECSN} \cdot \text{SED} \qquad (B8)$$

T. Neumann / Journal of Marine Systems 25 (2000) 405–419





Outlines

• Model features

Model configuration

Validation

•Seasonal variability



Model Domain









Model configuration

- coarse grid: 48*24'~65*54'N; 4*00'W~30*20'E;
 6'x10'; 174x207
- fine grid: 53*36'~57*36'N; 9*21'E~14*50'E 1'x1.67'; 198x241
- levels: 50; thickness: 8, 2(36), 4, 8(2), 25(2), 50(8)
- initial condition: ICES data
- river loadings: SHMI model outputs and European continental river data (Germany)



Model features
Model configuration
Validation

• Seasonal variability







Baltic Sea Bathymetry and Observational Stations







surf. no3 (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model

2.D

0.0

















surf po4 (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model

1.20







54 15.98E 55.25N











surf. chl (ug/l); Black-Data; Red-Model

12.0 -

10.0 -

8.0 -

BD-

4 D

00

















surf oxy (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model









40m no3 (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model





S3 14.08E 55.00N









40m po4 (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model















25m chl (ug/l); Black-Data; Red-Model

















40m oxy (umol/l); Black-Data; Red-Model















Baltic Sea Bathymetry and Observational Stations















Stat. 4















Problems identified

1. The nutrients were not recovered during winter.

2. The nutrients were improperly pumped up to 30-40 meter layers in summer.

3. The phytoplankton growth were not visible so much except for Spring.

Likely causes

 The vertical mixing was underestimated in winter.
 The light limitation might be errorously formulated to delimit the growth in summer, or the vertical mixing was overestimated in summer





Stat. 4













• Model features

Model configuration

Validation

Seasonal variability



Ecosystem seasonality

growth is limited /active

		SpringBlooming	Summer	AutumnBlooming
Diatom	L, <u>*</u>	****	Ν	Ν
Flagel.	L, T	Τ	<u>***</u> , N, P	<u>**,</u> N, P
Cyanob.	L, T	Т	<u>*</u> , T, P	<u>*</u> , T, P



DMi

Center for Ocean and Ice

In winter, the growth of all phytoplankton are limited by light availability. Occasionally, when the sea water is clean up, diatom may get a chance to grow. Flagellate and Cyanobacteria may not grow with this occasional light condition, due to temperature limitation.

Soon after winter, when the insolation condition is improved, diatom grows up rapidly. Until the sea water is warmed up to suit flagellate to grow, flagellate may coexist with diatom till the nutrient is limited. Flagellate has the advantage to uptake low nutrients.

When the sea water is further warmed up to enable cyanobacteria to grow, flagellate may coexist with cyanobacteria till the nitrogen is limited. In no nitrogen condition, cyanobacteria may grow until phosphate limitation happens and/or sea water is cooled down.

Dmi





Why the nitrogen deficit depth is much larger than the depth where the chrolophyl is visible?



-60 -70

м ј с р'м

2001

2000



ว่ผ ง ธ

2005

2004

ี่ พ่ง ธ

2006

ď

J S D M J S D M J S D M J S

2002

2003



Stat. 5

Why the nitrogen deficit depth is much larger than the depth where the chrolophyl is visible?

summary

Center for Ocean and Ice

DMi

1. A preliminary model result is presented. The problems are identified. The likely causes are exploited.

2. A seasonal availability is described on base of literatures and the experience gained in tuning model.

3. A question is raised on why the nitrogen deficit depth is much larger than the depth where the chrolophyl is visible.



Thank you!