What happened outside the Baltic Sea?

# The next neighbor is the North Sea with strong exchange with the open Atlantic

#### M. Gröger

Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute

with contributions from

M. Mathis, E. Maier-Reimer<sup>1</sup>, U. Mikolajewicz<sup>1</sup>, D. Sein<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg <sup>2</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute Bremerhaven

## **Climate models predict**

Atlantic SST may increases by up to 4.5 °C at the end of the century

- •
- Freshwater input to the North Atlantic may increase by 15%
- •
- Ice free Arctic during summer pCO2 >500ppm
- •
- open ocean productivity may decrease by 20%
- •
- Ocean acidity has already increased by 30%
- •
- ...
- •
- How do the basin scale climate signals propagate
- on the NW European shelf?
- •



#### Max mixed layer depth

simulated by the Max-Planck-Institute Earth System Model in different resolutions and different different scenarios

Robust feature of reduced MLD in warming scenarios (in agreement with many other global models, e.g Steinacher et al., 2010).

## stronger warming – stronger effect

resolution – less important

## Models

- **Ocean GCM** including dynamic thermodynamic sea ice (Hibbler, 1979)
- 1.5° (10 km), 0.6° (4 km), free surface
- 30 vertical z levels
- no SST restoring no SSS restoring in the Baltic
- **Regional Atmosphere REMO** 37 km 27 vertical levels
- 0.20 0.15 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.050 0.050 0.055

[ms<sup>-1</sup>]

• **Biogeochemistry model** (modified NPZD model including sediment model) **Fig. 1.** Model domain. Also shown: surface circulation averaged over 1990–1999. Only for second vector is shown. EC = English Channel, NT = Norwegian Trench, PF = Pentland Fi FI = Faire Island, NC Norwegian Current, LC = Labrador Current, GS = Gulf Stream.

Model validation of is available at:

Gröger et al. (2013): NW European shelf under climate warming: Implications for open ocean – shelf exchange, primary production, and carbon absorption (Biogeosciences, accepted).

• *Slope hydrography* Winter mixed layer depth



rather robust

Integrated biogeochemical Parameters of the North Sea



thanks to J.Paetsch for providing riverine nutrient loads

Transport of nitrate into the North Sea across the shelve break

Transport of phosphate into the North Sea across the shelve break

Integrated biogeochemical Parameters of the North Sea



thanks to J.Paetsch for providing riverine nutrient loads

Winter transport of nitrate into the North Sea across the shelve break

Winter transport of phosphate into the North Sea across the shelve break

The downscaled simulations predict a decrease of > 40 %

in qualitative agreement with other models (Holt et al. 2012: ~20 % reduction)

Integrated biogeochemical Parameters of the North Sea



thanks to J.Paetsch for providing riverine nutrient loads

Transport of nitrate into the North Sea across the shelve break

Transport of phosphate into the North Sea across the shelve break

Yearly integrated

• primary production

However, Holt et al., 2012 found a reduction of only 5% at the end of the 21th century (despite 20% reduction in nutrient imports)



Remo winds are stronger and enhance upward vertical mixing compared to the uncoupled case



## Another source of uncertainty – the shelf edge





Vertical movement of the 1027.1 kg/m3 isopycne at two stations along the NW Eropean shelf. The timeseries was extracted from a model experiment and covers the period from the 16th to 31st of August in 1995

## mixing induced biological production along the shelf break



## Questions and Conclusions !?

Global models agree that the North Atlantic will warm, freshen and become more stratified

This will likely reduce the nutrient import from the Atlantic (into the North Sea).

#### BUT

How sensitive/robust is primary production to varying external nutrient sources?

Main processes determining production are highly parameterized. Is there enough empirical evidence for the assumed relationships?

The specific processes at the shelf edge are probably not adequately resolved in current models but may influence the on-shelf nutrient inventory.



## The Shelf Seas...

#### generate ~90% of world fish catches

• (Pauly et al., 2002)

•

- may account for 20 to 50% of current oceanic carbon uptake
- (Tsunogai et al., 1999, Thomas et al., 2004)

•

may account for ~30% of total marine primary production

• (Wollast, 1998)

•

•

•

#### • The Problem:

•

Climate models predict substantial changes for mid/high latitudes

but they do not adequately resolve shelves

•

- Regional models have to prescribe mass and energy fluxes at
- their domain boundaries

•

•

#### • Therefore...

•