



Notes from ECOSUPPORT management telephone meeting 09/12/09, 13:00-15:30.

Present: Eduardo Zorita (WP1), Bo Gustafsson (WP2), Brian MacKenzie (WP3), Urmas Raudsepp (WP4), Markus Meier (WP5, coordinator), Anders Höglund, Helén Andersson (notes).

No.	Item	Responsible
1	<p>BONUS ANNUAL CONFERENCE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECOSUPPORT represented with 3 presentations (Kari Eilola, Markus Meier, Chantal Donnelly) and 1 poster. • The poster will present highlights from the first year. One figure + caption per WP to be sent to Helén. Material can also be extracted from Norrköping-meeting presentations. 	<p>Kari, Markus, Chantal</p> <p>All (sending material) Helén (preparing poster)</p>
2	<p>PROJECT WEBPAGE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brief model/method descriptions will be placed on webpage on clickable links from the figure on the “overview”-page. Send figures/texts to Helén. • Results/highlights during project progress shall continuously be presented on webpage. 	<p>WP leaders</p> <p>All</p>
3	<p>PROGRESS REPORTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WP progress reports have been sent by WP1 & WP2, WP3 and WP4 reports will come within a few days, all reports will be put on the internal webpage. • Around the “table” summaries of WP-progress. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WP1: Daily resolution of temperature proven difficult. Is it sufficient to work with monthly resolution instead? The meeting thinks it is and that the capture of N-S, W-E gradients are more important. 2. WP1: Can Omstedt et al. run-off reconstruction be improved with the aid of data calibration/HYPE model simulations? Maybe we will run into same difficulties as Omstedt. 3. WP1: Resolution of climate hindcast/projections. RCAO with 50 km resolution: temperatures are well simulated but winds are too low. Four scenarios are 	<p>Markus will contact HYPE-group for 1850-2007 run</p> <p>Discussion</p>

	<p>now available (ECHAM5/A1B-50km, ECHAM5/A2-50km, ECHAM5/A1B-25km, HadCM3/A1B-50km). How important is it to get better wind data? Runs with 25 km can maybe be done but that means that the deliverable will be delayed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. WP2: Plenty of work done on assessment of model skills. Publication production (first a report + webpage, then a paper) will start this spring. 5. WP2: Strategy for initial conditions has to be set. Sensitivity analysis on nutrient loads needs to be done. 6. WP3: Work on model improvements (fish & foodweb) is on the way: inclusions of new species, validation, calibrations. A paper is in the review process, 2 more papers in progress (NEST). Attendance at meetings, conferences and workshops. 7. WP3: Text to be written on validation data sets, communication actions to access more data sets (e.g. zooplankton data from IOW). 8. WP3: Workshop for WP3 to take place next year. 9. WP4: Simulations started with circulation model for GoF. Questionnaire undertaken. 10. WP4: Metadata will be delivered to webpage. 11. WP4: Workshop for WP4 to take place next year. 12. WP5: Meetings, minutes and webpage are produced. 13. WP5: Annual reporting to be finished in Jan 2010 at the latest. 	<p>between BNI, SMHI, IOW to decide what action to take.</p> <p>BNI, SMHI, IOW</p> <p>Bo</p> <p>Brian</p> <p>Brian</p> <p>Urmas Urmas</p> <p>Markus & Helén</p>
4	<p>ANNUAL REPORTING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scientific report: 1 page from each WP, submit to Helén before 15th December. • EPSS: Report deliverables directly on the web. • Project statistics: report to Helén before 15th December. • Financial reporting: Most countries reports to their national funding institutions. Each partner needs to find out their procedure. 	<p>WP leaders</p> <p>WP leaders WP leaders Principal Scientists</p>
5	<p>PUBLICATION STRATEGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We need to think about a publication strategy that we can agree on • ECOSUPPORT should be mentioned in the acknowledgements • Informal rule on the co-authorship is preferable, but an open discussion is recommended in order to prevent hurt feelings. • Co-authorship foremost when someone has contributed to the actual manuscript and its results. When someone's work is used the person should be invited to be co-author. 	<p>All</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When data are used that have not been published previously, they can be briefly described in the paper and so co-authorship comes naturally. • Data sets that can be delivered to other projects (e.g. climate scenarios) should be available during the project duration but we need to make sure that we have had the necessary time for quality checks and documentations. • Everybody should inform each other on ongoing project writings. • WP leaders will discuss possible joint papers related to the deliverables 	<p>All</p> <p>WP leaders</p>
6	<p>NEXT MEETING</p> <p>Telephone meeting on the 12th April 2010, 13.00 CET</p>	<p>WP leaders</p>